TO: RECEIVED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

03 AUG 12 AM 8:31

FAX from ValetPatents

This facsimile transmission is intended only for the use of the addressee. Proprietary information may be confidential and legally privileged. If you have received this fax in error, please immediately notify us. Any disclosure, copying, distribution, and the taking of any action in reliance on the contents is strictly prohibited.

Reply to: 425-672-3147 (voice) or 425-640-0525 (fax) or Valet.Patents@verizon.net

FROM:

EUGENE VALET

314 10th Ave. South

Edmonds WA 98020-3312

TO:

Commissioner for Patents

ATTENTION: James Trammel

TEL:

703-305-9768

FAX:

703-746-5534

Number of pages to follow: 20

RE:

Group Art Unit:

3621

Examiner:

Backer, F.

Ser. No.:

09/833,390

Documents following:

(1) PTO/SB/21 Transmittal Form

(2) Amendment After Final Under Rule 116, Reply to Office Action and Request for Reconsideration

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the USPTO via facsimile transmission on the date executed below to phone number (703) 746-5534.

Respectfully submitted,

Eugene H. Valet

Dated: 12 AV1. 2003

//

TO:



AUG-12-2003 08:25 FROM: VALETPATENTS

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box + + PTO/58/21 (08-60) Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0931 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number					
Original His Paparwork Reduction	ACT 01 1555, 110 parson	a and radouses to re	Application Number	09/833390	
TRANSMITTAL			Filing Date	04/11/01	
FORM			First Named Inventor	TRIOLA	
(to be used for all correspondence after Initial filing)			Group Art Unit	3621	
		Examiner Name	Backer, Firmin		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Numbe	CRT044US		
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)					
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached + Amendment / Reply + After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		Assignment Papers (for an Application) Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Remarks I hereby certify is being deposited with the transmission on the date ex number (703) 746-5534.		After Allowance Communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brei, Reply Brei) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): y that this correspondence e USPTO via facsimile xecuted below to phone	
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm or Individual name Eugene H. Valet, Reg. No. 31435					
Signature	Signature Engene H Valit				
Date 12 August 2003					
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING					
I nereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on this date:					
Typed or printed name					
Signature			Da	te	

Burden Hour Statement. This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Washington Received from <4256400525 > at 8/12/03 11:15:03 AM [Eastern Daylight Time]

TETOHOLOGY CENTER 3600

03 AUG 12 AH 8:31

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to (703) 746-5534.

By: Eugene H. Valet Signature:

PATENT APPLICATION DOCKET NO. CRT044US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

GROUP ART UNIT: 3621

AFTER FINAL COMMUNICATION

3

5

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27 28

29 30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

Date of Transmission: AUG 12, 2003

Backer, Firmin **EXAMINER:**

INVENTOR(\$): Triola, C.R.

09/833,390 **SERIAL NO.:**

3769 CONF. NO.:

April 11, 2001 FILED:

Method and Apparatus for Processing Escrow Transactions SUBJECT:

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL UNDER RULE 116 REPLY TO OFFICE ACTION AND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER GRANTED PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL

THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS TO:

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS:

This paper is filed in reply to the FINAL Office Action issued on 07/28/2003 with respect to the above-referenced application. No new matter is added to the application by the amendments made hereinafter. Applicant hereby requests reconsideration based on the following amendments and remarks regarding objections and rejections enumerated in the Office Action. In accordance with the notice "REVISED AMENDMENT PRACTICE: 37 CFR 1.121 CHANGED, effective July 30, 2003, the MPEP and 37 CFR, following are:

- INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS, 39 (A)
- AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION, (B) 40
- AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS, (C) 41
- REMARKS, including DRAWING AMENDMENTS, if any. (D) 42

S/N. 09/833390 Applicant Docket No., CRT044US Amendment AF2

(A) INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

The Final Office Action's paragraphs 1-15 are a verbatim reiteration of the prior Office Action's para. 4-18. Applicants' prior response, mailed April 1, 2003, provided extensive, substantive arguments against the sole cited reference, U.S. Pat. No. 6,304, 860 (Martin et al.). Applicant now further respectfully disagrees with the entire remaining section of the pending Final Office Action entitled "Response to the Arguments," Page 6, para. 16. It is applicants' opinion that it is by its own words telling that there is still a misunderstanding of "real estate escrow transactions," the fundamental problem being addressed by the applicants' invention which is no way ever addressed by Martin et al. Particularly, the applicant wishes to further illuminate the issue and advance prosecution in reply to the Office's Response conclusory statement:

"There is absolutely no confusion here, the impounded escrow is being managed by the loan servicer and such interpretation is valid. Therefore, Martin et al. provided evidence of a manage escrow account." Final Office Action, page 7, about line 8 et seq.

The Remarks made hereinafter address what is actually an apparently unrecognized fundamental failure of the Martin et al. patent to provide such evidence. Amendments made herein are made in the spirit of clearing up the confusion and advancing this application to allowance. As will be made clear by the Remarks, the Amendments entered are not based on any teaching by Martin et al. The Remarks below are supplemental to prior arguments to aid the Examiner in understanding the clear evidentiary distinctions between Martin et al. and the present invention, as set forth by the remaining claims after entry of the proffered amendments, infra. No new matter has been added. No material has been added to the claims from the specification by said amendments; thus, no new issues are raised by the amendments.

S/N. 09/833390 Applicant Docket No.. CRT044US Amendment A62